THE HONORABLE RICARDO S. MARTINEZ 1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 8 9 TIMAERO IRELAND LIMITED, No. 2:21-00488-RSM Plaintiff, 10 THE BOEING COMPANY'S ANSWER TO TIMAERO'S THIRD 11 AMENDED COMPLAINT v. 12 THE BOEING COMPANY, Defendant. 13 14 Defendant The Boeing Company ("Boeing") by and through its undersigned attorneys, 15 Perkins Coie LLP, hereby answers Plaintiff Timaero Ireland Limited's ("Timaero") Third 16 Amended Complaint as follows, in paragraphs numbered to correspond to the paragraph numbers 17 in the Third Amended Complaint. 18 The Third Amended Complaint also featured footnotes containing citations to materials in 19 the public record and headers containing no allegations. Boeing does not believe a response is 20 required to footnote reference materials (which speak for themselves) or headers or the Table of 21 Contents. To the extent a response is required to the footnotes or headers or the Table of Contents, 22 Boeing denies any allegations therein. 23 24 ¹ Plaintiff Timaero Ireland Limited is a wholly-owned subsidiary of European entities, including, at times, a 25 Russian corporate entity named "VEB-Leasing" ("VEB"). Because internal Boeing documents and witnesses recall primarily dealing with VEB (and not "Timaero"), this Answer refers to Plaintiff as VEB at times to reflect that the 26 individuals involved were representatives of the Russian entity.

2

45

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

I. THE PARTIES

- 1. Boeing lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 1 and therefore denies them.
- 2. Boeing admits that it is a Delaware corporation. Boeing also admits that it designs, manufactures, and sells commercial aircraft, including the 737 MAX aircraft. Boeing also admits that it has employees in the State of Washington. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 2.
- 3. Boeing admits that Boeing Commercial Airplanes ("BCA") is one of its business units that maintains corporate office space in Renton, Washington, among other locales. Boeing also admits that BCA is involved in the design, manufacture, and sale of commercial aircraft, including the 737 MAX. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 3.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. The allegations in Paragraph 4 consist of legal conclusions to which no response from Boeing is required. To the extent a response is required, Boeing admits that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action.
- 5. The allegations in Paragraph 5 consist of legal conclusions to which no response from Boeing is required. To the extent a response is required, Boeing admits that this Court has personal jurisdiction over Boeing for this litigation.
- 6. The allegations in Paragraph 6 consist of legal conclusions to which no response from Boeing is required. To the extent a response is required, Boeing admits that venue is proper in this Court.
- 7. Boeing admits that it entered into a Purchase Agreement with Timaero Ireland Limited, which attaches or incorporates various exhibits, Letter Agreements, and Supplemental Agreements for the purchase of 737 MAX aircraft. These documents speak for themselves. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 7.

III. SUMMARY

- 8. The allegations in Paragraph 8 simply characterize the pleading and require no response from Boeing. To the extent a response is required, Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 8. As to the allegations as to which law applies, the Court held that Timaero's claims are governed by Washington law, and no further response to Footnote 2 is required by Boeing. *See* Dkt. #127 at 9.
- 9. Boeing admits that it entered into a Purchase Agreement with Timaero Ireland Limited, which attaches or incorporates various exhibits, Letter Agreements, and Supplemental Agreements for the purchase of 737 MAX aircraft. These documents speak for themselves. Boeing also admits that it met with representatives from VEB to discuss the 737 MAX. Boeing also admits that, in total, Boeing and Timaero Ireland Limited contracted for the purchase of 22 737 MAX aircraft. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 9.
- 10. Boeing admits that a Boeing model 737 MAX aircraft operated as Lion Air Flight 610 crashed on October 29, 2018, which resulted in the deaths of all persons on board. Boeing also admits that a Boeing 737 MAX aircraft operated as Ethiopian Airlines Flight 302 crashed on March 10, 2019, which resulted in the deaths of all persons on board. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 10.
- 11. Boeing admits that, on January 7, 2021, Boeing and the U.S. Department of Justice, Criminal Division, Fraud Section ("Fraud Section") and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Northern District of Texas entered into a deferred prosecution agreement (the "DPA"). Boeing further admits that the DPA included a Statement of Facts (labelled as Appendix A), and that the facts recited in the DPA's Statement of Facts are true. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 11.
- 12. Boeing admits that, on January 7, 2021, the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Northern District of Texas filed a criminal information charging Boeing with one count of Conspiracy to

Defraud the United States. The document speaks for itself. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 12.

- 13. Boeing admits that it entered into the DPA on January 7, 2021, which included a Statement of Facts, and Boeing admits the facts recited in the DPA's Statement of Facts are true. Boeing also admits that Paragraph 13's quoted language appears in the DPA. The DPA speaks for itself. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 13.
- 14. Boeing admits that it entered into the DPA on January 7, 2021, which included a Statement of Facts, and Boeing admits the facts recited in the DPA's Statement of Facts are true. Boeing also admits that Paragraph 14's quoted language appears in the DPA. The DPA speaks for itself. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 14.
 - 15. Boeing admits the allegations in Paragraph 15.
- 16. Boeing admits that it agreed to pay a Criminal Monetary Penalty under the terms of the DPA. The DPA speaks for itself. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 16.
- 17. Boeing admits that it reorganized the company's engineering function to have all Boeing engineers, as well as the company's Flight Technical Team, report through the company's chief engineer rather than to the business units. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 17.
- 18. Boeing admits that, from at least in and around November 2016 through at least in and around December 2018, in the Northern District of Texas and elsewhere, Boeing, through Mark Forkner and Patrik Gustavsson, knowingly, and with intent to defraud, conspired to defraud the FAA AEG. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 18.
- 19. Boeing lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 19 regarding any impact on Timaero's business and therefore denies them. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 19.

IV. FACTS

- 20. The allegations in Paragraph 20 consist of legal conclusions to which no response from Boeing is required. To the extent a response is required, Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 20.
- 21. Boeing admits that it began designing and selling the Boeing 737 in the 1960s. Boeing also admits that over time it designed, manufactured, and sold versions of the Boeing 737, which include 737 Classic models (-300, -400, and -500); 737 Next Generation ("NG") models (-600, -700, -800, -900, and -900ER); and the latest model, the 737 MAX (-8, -9, and -10). Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 21.
 - 22. Boeing admits the allegations in Paragraph 22.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 23. Boeing admits that Airbus SE launched the A320neo in December 2010. Boeing also admits that Airbus SE marketed the A320neo as more fuel-efficient than the A320. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 23 purport to quote or summarize portions of a *Seattle Times* news article, which speaks for itself. To the extent a response is required, Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 23.
- 24. Boeing admits that the Boeing Board of Directors authorized the company to offer, develop, and build a re-engined 737 model aircraft in August of 2011. Boeing also admits that, prior to the launch of the 737 MAX program, Boeing considered designing a new airplane model. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 24.
- 25. Boeing admits that the Boeing Board of Directors authorized the company to offer, develop, and build a re-engined 737 model aircraft in August of 2011. Boeing also admits that the individuals listed in the second sentence of Paragraph 25 were members of Boeing's Board of Directors at that time. Boeing further admits that John Biggs, John Bryson, and William Daley were not members of the Board in August of 2011, and that they had been members of the Board previously. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 25.
 - 26. Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 26.

6

9

1011

1213

14

1516

17

18 19

20

2122

23

2425

- 27. Boeing admits that it maintained an Organization Designation Authorization throughout the design, development, testing, and certification of the 737 MAX. Boeing also admits that, after FAA evaluation of the 737 MAX project, the FAA determined that certain aspects of the 737 MAX Type Certification could be delegated; this is typical of Type Certification projects. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 27.
- 28. Boeing admits that it maintained an Organization Designation Authorization throughout the design, development, testing, and certification of the 737 MAX. Boeing lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 28 regarding the FAA and Timaero and therefore denies them. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 28.
- 29. Boeing admits that its Board of Directors oversees the company's business conducted by its employees, managers, and corporate officers. The company's business includes the design and development of commercial aircraft, including the 737 MAX. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 29.
- 30. Boeing admits that through its business unit, BCA, it and the FAA resolved allegations documented in then-pending Enforcement Investigative Reports through an agreement executed in December 2015. The contents of the agreement speak for themselves. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 30.
- 31. The allegations in Paragraph 31 characterize U.S. regulations, which speak for themselves. To the extent a response is required, Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 31.
- 32. The allegations in Paragraph 32 consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 32.
- 33. Boeing admits that it entered into a Purchase Agreement with Timaero Ireland Limited, which attaches or incorporates various exhibits, Letter Agreements, and Supplemental Agreements for the purchase of 737 MAX aircraft. These documents speak for themselves. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 33.

1	34.	Boeing admits the allegations in Paragraph 34.	
2	35.	Boeing admits the FAA AEG was principally responsible for determining the	
3	minimum level of pilot training required for a pilot to fly the 737 MAX aircraft for a U.Sbased		
4	airline. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 35.		
5	36.	Boeing admits the allegations in Paragraph 36.	
6	37.	Boeing admits the allegation in Paragraph 37.	
7	38.	Boeing admits the allegations in Paragraph 38.	
8	39.	Boeing admits that Level B differences training generally includes computer-based	
9	training. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 39.		
10	40.	Boeing admits the allegations in Paragraph 40.	
11	41.	Boeing admits the allegation in Paragraph 41.	
12	42.	Boeing admits the allegations in Paragraph 42.	
13	43.	Boeing admits the allegations in Paragraph 43.	
14	44.	Boeing admits the allegations in Paragraph 44.	
15	45.	Boeing admits the allegations in Paragraph 45.	
16	46.	Boeing admits the allegations in Paragraph 46.	
17	47.	Boeing admits the allegations in Paragraph 47.	
18	48.	Boeing admits that Forkner and Gustavsson understood that the FAA AEG relied	
19	on them, as members of Boeing's 737 MAX Flight Technical Team, to identify and provide to the		
20	FAA AEG all information that was relevant to the FAA AEG in connection with the FAA AEG'		
21	publication of the 737 MAX FSB Report, including information that could impact the FAA AEG		
22	differences-training determination. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 48.		
23	49.	Boeing admits that Forkner and Gustavsson also understood that, because flight	
24	controls were vital to flying modern commercial airplanes, differences between the flight control		
25	of the 737 NG and the 737 MAX were especially important to the FAA AEG for purposes of it		

Boeing admits the allegations in Paragraph 60.

26

60.

61. Boeing admits the allegations in Paragraph 61.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 62. Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 62.
- 63. Boeing admits that the different aerodynamics created a new handling characteristic for the 737 MAX that caused the 737 MAX's nose to pitch up during a certain flight maneuver called a high-speed, wind-up turn. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 63.
- 64. Boeing admits that the quoted language in Paragraph 64 consists of incomplete portions of 14 C.F.R. § 25.203(a). In further response, Boeing admits that a stall occurs when the air moving over the upper wing surface can no longer remain attached to the surface and the flow breaks down. The breakdown of the flow and consequent loss of lift is dependent only upon the angle of attack of the surface. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 64.
- 65. Boeing admits that a high-speed, wind-up turn was a "certification" maneuver, that is, a maneuver outside the limits of what the 737 MAX would be expected to encounter during a normal commercial passenger flight. Boeing also admits that if it did not fix the 737 MAX's pitch-up characteristic in high-speed, wind-up turns, the FAA could determine that the 737 MAX did not meet U.S. federal airworthiness standards. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 65.
- 66. Boeing admits that the different aerodynamics on the MAX created a new handling characteristic for the 737 MAX that caused the 737 MAX's nose to pitch up during a certain flight maneuver called a high-speed, wind-up turn. Boeing also admits that if it did not fix the 737 MAX's pitch-up characteristic in high-speed, wind-up turns, the FAA could determine that the 737 MAX did not meet U.S. federal airworthiness standards. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 66.
 - 67. Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 67.
- 68. Boeing admits that, to fix the 737 MAX's pitch-up characteristic in high-speed, wind-up turns, it created the Maneuvering Characteristics Augmentation System ("MCAS") and

COMPLAINT

- 69. Boeing admits that, to fix the 737 MAX's pitch-up characteristic in high-speed, wind-up turns, it created MCAS and incorporated it as part of the 737 MAX's flight controls. Boeing also admits that, in operation, MCAS would automatically cause the airplane's nose to pitch down by adjusting the 737 MAX's horizontal stabilizer. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 69.
- 70. Boeing admits that the angle-of-attack ("AOA") sensors implemented in the design of the 737 MAX are located on the fuselage of the aircraft. Boeing admits that the 737 MAX has two AOA sensors, which measure and provide angle of attack information. Boeing also admits that, when the 737 MAX was originally certified, the MCAS implemented in its design used input from one AOA sensor at a time. Boeing also admits that, in operation, MCAS would automatically cause the airplane's nose to pitch down by adjusting the 737 MAX's horizontal stabilizer. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 70.
 - 71. Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 71.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 72. Boeing admits the allegations in Paragraph 72.
- 73. Boeing admits that, prior to the FAA's certification of the 737 MAX in 2017, Boeing submitted documentation to the FAA indicating that MCAS could move the horizontal tail a maximum of 0.6 degrees. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 73.
- 74. Boeing admits that an MCAS control law was implemented in the design of one of its military aircraft. Boeing admits that the design of MCAS as implemented in the design of that aircraft model differed from the design of MCAS as implemented in the design of the 737 MAX. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 74.
 - 75. Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 75.
- 76. Boeing admits that the FAA approved Boeing's proposal to proceed with development of the 737 MAX aircraft on or about March 22, 2012. Boeing also admits that it

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

submitted an Amended Type Certificate application as part of this process. That application speaks for itself. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 76. Boeing admits on or about May 1 and 2, 2012, Boeing participated in a meeting 77. with the FAA and presented materials. Boeing's presentation materials speak for themselves. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 77. 78. Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 78. 79. Boeing admits that it submitted a project level master certification plan for the 737 MAX Amended Type Certification on February 14, 2013, to the FAA. Boeing also admits that the FAA Boeing Aviation Safety Oversight Office Organization Management Team provided comments to a master certification plan for the 737 MAX on November 14, 2013, among other times. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 79. 80. Boeing admits that the certification basis for the 737 MAX was approved on February 18, 2014, after collaboration between Boeing and the FAA. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 80. 81. Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 81. 82. Boeing admits that an MCAS control law was implemented in the design of one of its military aircraft. Boeing admits that the design of MCAS as implemented in the design of that aircraft model differed from the design of MCAS as implemented in the design of the 737 MAX. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 82. 83. Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 83. 84. Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 84. 85. Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 85. 86. Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 86. 87. Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 87. 88. Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 88.

ANSWER TO TIMAERO'S THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT (No. 2:21-00488-RSM) – 12

22

23

24

25

26

98.

99.

allegations in Paragraph 98.

Boeing admits that the various quotations, excerpted from Boeing emails, appear

Boeing admits that Boeing employees met with VEB representatives to discuss the

in the cited documents. These documents speak for themselves. Boeing denies all remaining

potential purchase of 737 MAX aircraft. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 99.

25

- 100. Boeing admits that at the 2013 Paris Airshow held at the Le Bourget airport in France, Boeing representatives met with VEB representatives to discuss the purchase of several 737 MAX aircraft. VEB and Boeing then negotiated the terms of the Purchase Agreement, which was not finalized until January of 2014. Boeing lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth all remaining allegations in Paragraph 100 and therefore denies them.
 - 101. Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 101.
- 102. Boeing admits that its representatives met with VEB representatives in 2013 and discussed the purchase of 737 MAX aircraft. Boeing lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of all remaining allegations in Paragraph 102 and therefore denies them.
- 103. Boeing admits that its representatives exchanged correspondence with VEB representatives in 2013 about the purchase of 737 MAX aircraft. The correspondence speaks for itself. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 103.
 - 104. Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 104.
- 105. Boeing admits that it presented on the 737 MAX at the 2013 Dubai Air Show, which took place between November 17 and November 21, 2013. The presentations speak for themselves. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 105.
 - 106. Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 106.
- 107. Boeing lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 107 and therefore denies them.
- 108. Boeing admits that on January 10, 2014, it entered into Purchase Agreement No. 4022 with Timaero Ireland Limited for the purchase of 20 737 MAX aircraft. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 108.
- 109. Boeing lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 109 and therefore denies them.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

5

8

9

7

10

12

11

1314

1516

17

19

18

21

20

2223

2425

26

speaks for itself. Boeing lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of all remaining allegations in Paragraph 121 and therefore denies them.

- 122. Boeing admits that its representatives met with VEB representatives in 2014 and discussed the purchase of 737 MAX aircraft. Any presentation given by a Boeing representative speaks for itself. Boeing lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of all remaining allegations in Paragraph 122 and therefore denies them.
- 123. Boeing admits that the quoted language in Paragraph 123 appears in a public statement it issued in July 2014. Boeing lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 123 regarding Timaero's knowledge and therefore denies them. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 123.
 - 124. Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 124.
- 125. Boeing admits that the quoted language in Paragraph 125 appears on its website (as of the date of this filing). Boeing's website speaks for itself. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 125.
- 126. Boeing admits that it maintains, on its website, a news release with the quote: "Boeing is recommending 737 MAX simulator training in addition to computer based training for all MAX pilots prior to return to service of the 737 MAX." Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 126.
- 127. Boeing admits that it has marketed the 737 MAX to customers, including Timaero, since at least 2013. Boeing lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations regarding the FAA email quoted in Paragraph 127 and therefore denies them. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 127.
- 128. Boeing admits that that the various quotations, excerpted from Boeing emails, appear in the cited documents. These documents speak for themselves. Boeing lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 128

regarding the FAA's internal documents and therefore denies them. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 128.

- 129. Boeing admits that Forkner and Gustavsson intentionally withheld and concealed from the FAA AEG the fact that MCAS's operational scope had been expanded beyond what the FAA AEG relied upon when it issued its provisional "Level B" differences-training determination for the 737 MAX. Boeing also admits that because of the intentional withholding of information from the FAA AEG, the final version of the 737 MAX FSB Report lacked information about MCAS, and relevant portions of this 737 MAX FSB Report were materially false, inaccurate, and incomplete. Boeing also admits that airplane manuals and pilot-training materials for U.S.-based airlines lacked information about MCAS, and relevant portions of these manuals and materials were similarly materially false, inaccurate, and incomplete as a result. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 129.
 - 130. Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 130, including its discrete subparts.
 - 131. Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 131.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 132. Boeing admits the allegations in Paragraph 132.
- 133. Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 133.
- 134. Boeing admits the allegations in Paragraph 134.
- 135. Boeing admits that it has submitted materials to the European Union Aviation Safety Agency (referred to as "EASA") related to certifications of the 737 series aircraft. Paragraph 135 purports to summarize a document ("log of Supplemental Type Certificates") published by EASA; Boeing lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the existence of this specific document or the accuracy of Plaintiff's summary. But the document should speak for itself. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 135.
- 136. Boeing admits that David Loffing is a Vice President and Chief Engineer of Boeing Commercial Airplanes and that he was formerly the 737 MAX Engineering Integration Chief Engineer. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 136.

Boeing lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of

the allegations in Paragraph 145 and therefore denies them.

145.

24

25

- NEW" of a document entitled "Single and Multiple Failure Accomplishment: Summary 737 MAX Program" ("S&MF"). The document speaks for itself. Boeing also admits that it did not provide a copy of this S&MF to the FAA prior to certification of the 737 MAX. Boeing lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 146 regarding the FAA's opinions and therefore denies them. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 146.
- 147. The S&MF speaks for itself. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph
- 148. The S&MF speaks for itself. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph
- 149. The S&MF speaks for itself. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph
- 150. The S&MF speaks for itself. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph
 - 151. Boeing admits the allegations in Paragraph 151.
- Dof the coordination sheet No. Aero-B-BBA8-C12-0159 on or about March 30, 2016. That document speaks for itself. Boeing also admits that it expanded MCAS's operational scope, including the speed range within which MCAS could activate, significantly altering its original design. Among other things, when the airplane registered a high angle of attack, the change expanded the speed range within which MCAS could activate from approximately Mach 0.6-0.8 to approximately Mach 0.2-0.8—that is, from only high-speed flight to nearly the entire speed range for the 737 MAX, including low-speed flight, which generally occurs at a lower altitude and in and around takeoff and landing. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 152.

allegations in Paragraph 162.

9

10 11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

- 163. Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 163.
- 164. Boeing admits that it released a coordination sheet regarding 737 MAX Flaps Up High Alpha Stabilizer Trim (MCAS) Requirements on or around July 5, 2016. That COORD sheet speaks for itself. Boeing also admits that the MCAS parameters as described in that coordination sheet were implemented into the design of the 737 MAX prior to certification of the aircraft on or around March 8, 2017. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 164.
- 165. Boeing admits that on or about August 16, 2016, before the FAA AEG published the 737 MAX FSB Report, the FAA AEG issued a provisional "Level B" differences-training determination for the 737 MAX. At the time of this provisional determination, the FAA AEG was unaware that Boeing had expanded MCAS's operational scope. Boeing also admits that two members of its Flight Technical team did not disclose the expansion to the FAA AEG personnel responsible for publishing the 737 MAX FSB Report. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 165.
 - 166. Boeing admits the allegations in Paragraph 166.
 - 167. Boeing admits the allegations in Paragraph 167.
 - 168. Boeing admits the allegations in Paragraph 168.
 - 169. Boeing admits the allegations in Paragraph 169.
- 170. Boeing admits that the various quotations, excerpted from Boeing instant messages, appear in the cited documents. These documents speak for themselves. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 170.
- 171. Boeing admits that it uses failure classifications in its hazard assessments to inform designs. Boeing also admits that it follows regulatory guidance and industry standards to conduct hazard and other safety assessments on its products. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 171.
 - 172. Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 172.
 - 173. Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 173.

remaining allegations in Paragraph 182.

1	183.	Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 183.	
2	184.	Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 184.	
3	185.	Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 185.	
4	186.	Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 186.	
5	187.	Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 187.	
6	188.	Boeing admits that an MCAS control law was implemented in the design of one of	
7	its military ai	rcraft. Boeing admits that the design of MCAS as implemented in the design of that	
8	aircraft mode	differed from the design of MCAS as implemented in the design of the 737 MAX.	
9	Boeing denies	s all remaining allegations in Paragraph 188.	
10	189.	Boeing admits that Boeing and Timaero Ireland Limited executed Supplemental	
11	Agreement No. 1 to Purchase Agreement No. 4022 on or about September 15, 2016. The terms of		
12	the contracts speak for themselves. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 189.		
13	190.	Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 190.	
14	191.	Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 191.	
15	192.	Boeing admits that it uses failure classifications in its hazard assessments to inform	
16	designs. Boeing also admits that it follows regulatory guidance and industry standards to conduc		
17	hazard and other safety assessments on its products. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in		
18	Paragraph 192.		
19	193.	Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 193.	
20	194.	Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 194.	
21	195.	The allegations in Paragraph 195 purport to quote or summarize a Satcom Guru	
22	blog and the JATR report, which speak for themselves. To the extent a response is required, Boein		
23	denies the allegations in Paragraph 195.		
24	196.	Boeing lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of	
25	the allegations in Paragraph 196 and therefore denies them.		
26			
I	I		

- The allegations in Paragraph 197 purport to quote or summarize a Seattle Times news article and Boeing communications, which speak for themselves. To the extent a response is
- Boeing admits that the various quotations, excerpted from Boeing instant messages, appear in the cited documents. These documents speak for themselves. Boeing denies all remaining
- The allegations in Paragraph 201 purport to quote or summarize a Wall Street Journal news article, which speaks for itself. To the extent a response is required, Boeing denies
- Boeing admits that, on or about November 15, 2016, Forkner and Gustavsson recognized that the FAA AEG was under the misimpression that MCAS operated only during a high-speed, wind up turn and could not operate at lower Mach speeds, such as at Mach 0.2. Boeing also admits the allegations in the second sentence in Paragraph 200. Boeing denies all remaining
- Boeing admits that Forkner and Gustavsson also knew that MCAS's expanded operational scope was relevant to the FAA AEG's decisions about the content of the 737 MAX FSB Report, including whether to include information about MCAS. Boeing also admits that Forkner and Gustavsson similarly understood that it was their responsibility to update the FAA AEG about any relevant changes to the 737 MAX's flight controls—such as MCAS's expanded operational scope. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 203.
- Boeing admits that despite knowing that the FAA AEG had issued its provisional "Level B" determination without any awareness that MCAS's operational scope had been expanded to include high angle of attack conditions in nearly the entire speed range of ordinary commercial flight, Forkner and Gustavsson did not correct the FAA AEG's understanding of

25

MCAS's operational scope or otherwise ensure that the FAA AEG's "Level B" determination was based on an accurate understanding of MCAS's operation. Instead, Boeing—through Forkner and Gustavsson—intentionally withheld and concealed from the FAA AEG their knowledge of MCAS's expanded operational scope. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 204.

- 205. Boeing admits the allegations in Paragraph 205.
- 206. Boeing admits that around the time that Forkner and Gustavsson discussed MCAS's expanded operational scope, Forkner asked a Boeing senior engineer assigned to the 737 MAX program about MCAS's operational scope. The senior engineer confirmed to Forkner that MCAS could activate beyond the limited operational scope of a high-speed, wind-up turn. The senior engineer suggested that Forkner contact certain subject-matter experts at Boeing for more specific information about MCAS's operational scope. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 206.
 - 207. Boeing admits the allegations in Paragraph 207.
- 208. Boeing admits the allegations in the first three sentences of Paragraph 208. Boeing also admits that neither Forkner nor Gustavsson shared the fact of MCAS's expanded operational scope with the FAA AEG or otherwise corrected the FAA AEG's misimpression that MCAS's operational scope was limited to high-speed, wind-up turns. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 208.
- 209. Boeing admits that in doing so, Forkner and Gustavsson deceived the FAA AEG into believing that the basis upon which the FAA AEG had initially "agreed" to remove any information about MCAS from the 737 MAX FSB Report—that MCAS could only activate during the limited operational scope of a high-speed, wind-up turn—remained the same. Boeing also admits that Forkner and Gustavsson withheld their knowledge of MCAS from the FAA AEG to avoid risking the FAA AEG taking any action that could threaten the differences-training determination for the 737 MAX. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 209.

7

9 10

11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

1920

21

22

2324

25

- 210. Boeing admits the allegations in the first sentence in Paragraph 210. Boeing also admits that through the referenced email, Forkner again deceived the FAA AEG into believing that the basis upon which the FAA AEG had initially "decided" to remove any information about MCAS from the 737 MAX FSB Report—that MCAS could only activate during the limited operational scope of a high-speed, wind-up turn—remained the same. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 210.
 - 211. Boeing admits the allegations in Paragraph 211.
 - 212. Boeing admits the allegations in Paragraph 212.
- 213. Boeing admits that from in or around January 2017 through in or around July 2017 (when the 737 MAX FSB Report was published), Forkner and Gustavsson sent and caused to be sent emails to representatives of various Boeing airline customers that had agreed to purchase the 737 MAX, including major U.S.-based airlines. Boeing admits the allegations in the second sentence in Paragraph 213. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 213.
 - 214. Boeing admits the allegations in Paragraph 214.
- 215. Boeing admits that because of Boeing's intentional withholding of information from the FAA AEG, the final version of the 737 MAX FSB Report lacked information about MCAS, and relevant portions of this 737 MAX FSB Report were materially false, inaccurate, and incomplete. In turn, airplane manuals and pilot-training materials for U.S.-based airlines lacked information about MCAS, and relevant portions of these manuals and materials were similarly materially false, inaccurate, and incomplete as a result. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 215.
- 216. Boeing admits that after the FAA AEG published the final version of the 737 MAX FSB Report, Boeing continued to sell, and Boeing's U.S.-based airline customers were permitted to fly, the 737 MAX. Pilots flying the 737 MAX for Boeing's airline customers were not provided any information about MCAS in their airplane manuals and pilot-training materials. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 216.

allegations in Paragraph 226.

Limited, which attaches or incorporates various exhibits, Letter Agreements, and Supplemental

Agreements for the purchase of 737 MAX aircraft, including Supplemental Agreement No. 2,

25

which was executed on August 28, 2017. These documents speak for themselves. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 235.

- 236. Boeing admits that it entered into a Purchase Agreement with Timaero Ireland Limited, which attaches or incorporates various exhibits, Letter Agreements, and Supplemental Agreements for the purchase of 737 MAX aircraft, including Supplemental Agreement No. 3, which was executed on December 11, 2017. These documents speak for themselves. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 236.
- 237. Boeing admits that it entered into a Purchase Agreement with Timaero Ireland Limited, which attaches or incorporates various exhibits, Letter Agreements, and Supplemental Agreements for the purchase of 737 MAX aircraft, including Supplemental Agreement No. 4, which was executed on February 26, 2018. These documents speak for themselves. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 237.
- 238. Boeing admits that it entered into a Purchase Agreement with Timaero Ireland Limited, which attaches or incorporates various exhibits, Letter Agreements, and Supplemental Agreements for the purchase of 737 MAX aircraft, including Supplemental Agreement No. 5, which was executed on September 21, 2018. These documents speak for themselves. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 238.
- 239. Boeing admits that it entered into a Purchase Agreement with Timaero Ireland Limited, which attaches or incorporates various exhibits, Letter Agreements, and Supplemental Agreements for the purchase of 737 MAX aircraft, including Supplemental Agreement No. 6, which was executed on September 28, 2018. These documents speak for themselves. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 239.
- 240. Boeing admits that it entered into a Purchase Agreement with Timaero Ireland Limited, which attaches or incorporates various exhibits, Letter Agreements, and Supplemental Agreements for the purchase of 737 MAX aircraft, including Supplemental Agreement No. 7,

the allegations in the first and third sentences of Paragraph 249 and therefore denies them. Boeing

denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 249.

25

1	250. Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 250.		
2	251. Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 251.		
3	252. Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 252.		
4	253. Boeing lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth o		
5	the allegations in Paragraph 253 and therefore denies them.		
6	254. The allegations in Paragraph 254 purport to quote or summarize a <i>New York Time</i> .		
7	news article, which speaks for itself. To the extent a response is required, Boeing denies the		
8	allegations in Paragraph 254.		
9	255. The allegations in Paragraph 255 purport to quote or summarize a news article		
10	published by The Dallas Morning News, which speaks for itself. To the extent a response is		
11	required, Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 255.		
12	256. Boeing admits the allegations in Paragraph 256.		
13	257. Boeing admits that on or about August 13, 2018, Boeing delivered a 737 MAX		
14	aircraft with registration number PK-LQP to Transportation Partners Pte. Ltd., with that aircraft to		
15	be operated by PT Lion Mentari Airlines. The aircraft with that registration number crashed shortly		
16	after takeoff into the Java Sea near Indonesia on October 29, 2018, operated as Lion Air Flight		
17	610. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 257.		
18	258. Boeing admits the allegations in Paragraph 258.		
19	259. Boeing admits the allegations in Paragraph 259.		
20	260. Boeing admits the allegations in Paragraph 260.		
21	261. Boeing admits that John Hamilton is a former Chief Engineer of BCA and that he		
22	was in that role on or around October 30, 2019, when testifying before the House o		
23	Representatives' Committee of Transportation and Infrastructure. His testimony speaks for itself		
24	Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 261.		
25	262. Boeing admits that the FAA issued an Emergency Order of Prohibition addressing		
26	operation of the 737 MAX on or around March 13, 2019. The Order speaks for itself. Boeing also		

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

272.

Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 272.

16

17

15

18 19

20 21

23

24

22

- 273. Boeing admits that the FAA issued an Emergency Order of Prohibition addressing operation of the 737 MAX on or around March 13, 2019. The Order speaks for itself. Boeing also admits that regulators in other countries issued operational restrictions on 737 MAX aircraft after the crash of Ethiopian Airlines Flight 302, and these regulators subsequently lifted those operational restrictions. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 273.
- 274. Boeing admits that the FAA issued an Emergency Order of Prohibition addressing operation of the 737 MAX on or around March 13, 2019. The Order speaks for itself. Boeing also admits that regulators in other countries issued operational restrictions on 737 MAX aircraft after the crash of Ethiopian Airlines Flight 302, and these regulators subsequently lifted those operational restrictions. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 274.
- 275. Boeing admits that on or about December 16, 2019, Boeing issued a press release addressing 737 MAX aircraft production. That press release speaks for itself. Boeing also admits that Stanley Deal, the President and CEO of BCA, sent a letter to Anton Lysenkov, the Vice President of VEB.RF, on December 16, 2019. The letter speaks for itself. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 275.
 - 276. Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 276.
- 277. Boeing admits that there have been government investigations into and related to the FAA's certification of the 737 MAX. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 277.
- Boeing admits that it entered into the DPA on January 7, 2021, which included a Statement of Facts, and that the facts recited in the DPA's Statement of Facts are true. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 278.
- 279. Boeing admits that there have been government investigations into and related to the FAA's certification of the 737 MAX. Boeing further admits there have been private civil actions initiated regarding the 737 MAX and the crashes of Flight 610 and Flight 302. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 279.

- 280. Boeing admits that, in September 2020, the House Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure issued a report titled, "The Design, Development & Certification of the Boeing 737 MAX." The report speaks for itself. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 280.
- 281. Boeing admits that, in September 2020, the House Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure issued a report titled, "The Design, Development & Certification of the Boeing 737 MAX," which contains the quoted language, without alterations, appearing in Paragraph 281, including its discrete subparts. The report speaks for itself. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 281, including its discrete subparts.
- 282. Boeing admits that from in or around January 2017 through in or around July 2017 (when the 737 MAX FSB Report was published), Forkner and Gustavsson sent and caused to be sent emails to representatives of various Boeing airline customers that had agreed to purchase the 737 MAX, including major U.S.-based airlines, and in these emails, they referenced and included drafts of the forthcoming 737 MAX FSB Report and airplane manuals and pilot-training materials. None of these items contained any information about MCAS. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 282.
- 283. Boeing lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 283 and therefore denies them.
- 284. Boeing admits that, on or about March 1, 2019, it received correspondence from Sean McCreery. That correspondence speaks for itself. Boeing also admits that Timaero's Managing Director emailed Boeing employees on or about March 13, 2019. That email speaks for itself. Boeing lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the last sentence in Paragraph 284 and therefore denies them. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 284.
- 285. Boeing admits that it received correspondence from VEB's Managing Director on or about March 13, 2019. That email speaks for itself. Boeing lacks knowledge or information

sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the last sentence in Paragraph 285 and therefore denies them. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 285.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

V. CAUSES OF ACTION

- 286. Boeing incorporates by reference its responses to Paragraphs 1 to 285, *supra*, as if fully set forth herein.
- 287. Boeing admits that it designs, manufactures, and sells the 737 MAX aircraft. Boeing also admits that it entered into a Purchase Agreement with Timaero Ireland Limited, which attaches or incorporates various exhibits, Letter Agreements, and Supplemental Agreements for the purchase of 737 MAX aircraft. These documents speak for themselves. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 287.
 - 288. Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 288.
- 289. Boeing admits that it entered into a Purchase Agreement with Timaero Ireland Limited, which attaches or incorporates various exhibits, Letter Agreements, and Supplemental Agreements for the purchase of 737 MAX aircraft. These documents speak for themselves. Boeing also admits that it has provided materials to VEB about the 737 MAX aircraft. These materials speak for themselves. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 289.
- 290. The allegations in Paragraph 290 consist of legal conclusions to which no response from Boeing is required. To the extent a response is required, Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 290.
- 291. Boeing lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 291 and therefore denies them.
- 292. Boeing lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 292 regarding any impact on Timaero's business and therefore denies them. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 292.
 - 293. Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 293.
 - 294. Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 294.

the allegations in Paragraph 310 regarding Timaero's state of mind and therefore denies them.

ANSWER TO TIMAERO'S THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT (No. 2:21-00488-RSM) – 36

Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 310.

Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 311.

24

25

26

311.

Perkins Coie LLP 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900 Seattle, Washington 98101-3099 Phone: 206.359.8000

10 11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

- 312. Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 312.
- 313. The allegations in the last sentence in Paragraph 313 consist of legal conclusions to which no response from Boeing is required. To the extent a response is required, Boeing denies the allegations in that sentence. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 313.
- 314. Boeing admits that it entered into a Purchase Agreement with Timaero Ireland Limited, which attaches or incorporates various exhibits, Letter Agreements, and Supplemental Agreements for the purchase of 737 MAX aircraft. These documents speak for themselves. Boeing also admits that it delivered two 737 MAX aircraft pursuant to these agreements. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 314.
- 315. Boeing admits that it entered into a Purchase Agreement with Timaero Ireland Limited, which attaches or incorporates various exhibits, Letter Agreements, and Supplemental Agreements for the purchase of 737 MAX aircraft. These documents speak for themselves. Boeing lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 315 regarding Timaero's leasing plans or any impact on Timaero's business and therefore denies them. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 315.
- 316. Boeing admits that on or about February 28, 2019, Boeing received correspondence from McCreery. The correspondence speaks for itself. Boeing lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 316 regarding Timaero's leasing plans or any impact on Timaero's business and therefore denies them. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 316.
- 317. Boeing lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 315 regarding any impact on Timaero's business and therefore denies them. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 317.
 - 318. Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 318.
- 319. The allegations in Paragraph 319 consist of legal conclusions to which no response from Boeing is required. Boeing lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

327.

328.

Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 327.

Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 328.

mind and therefore denies them. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 338.

7

10

1112

13

1415

1617

18

1920

21

2223

24

- 339. Boeing admits that it entered into a Purchase Agreement with Timaero Ireland Limited, which attaches or incorporates various exhibits, Letter Agreements, and Supplemental Agreements for the purchase of 737 MAX aircraft. These documents speak for themselves. Boeing lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 339 regarding Timaero's state of mind and therefore denies them. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 339, including its discrete subparts.
- 340. The allegations in Paragraph 340 consist of legal conclusions to which no response from Boeing is required. To the extent a response is required, Boeing lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 340 regarding any impact on Timaero's business and therefore denies them. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 340.
- 341. Boeing admits that from at least in and around November 2016 through at least in and around December 2018, within the United States, Boeing, through Forkner and Gustavsson, conspired to defraud the FAA AEG. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 341.
- 342. Boeing admits that it entered into a Purchase Agreement with Timaero Ireland Limited, which attaches or incorporates various exhibits, Letter Agreements, and Supplemental Agreements for the purchase of 737 MAX aircraft. These documents speak for themselves. Boeing lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 342 regarding Timaero's state of mind and therefore denies them. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 342.
- 343. Boeing lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 343 regarding Timaero's state of mind and therefore denies them. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 343.
- 344. Boeing admits that it entered into a Purchase Agreement with Timaero Ireland Limited, which attaches or incorporates various exhibits, Letter Agreements, and Supplemental Agreements for the purchase of 737 MAX aircraft. These documents speak for themselves. Boeing

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 345. Boeing admits that it entered into a Purchase Agreement with Timaero Ireland Limited, which attaches or incorporates various exhibits, Letter Agreements, and Supplemental Agreements for the purchase of 737 MAX aircraft. These documents speak for themselves. Boeing lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 345 regarding any impact on Timaero's business and therefore denies them. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 345.
- 346. Boeing admits that on or about February 28, 2019, Boeing received correspondence from McCreery. The correspondence speaks for itself. Boeing lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 346 regarding Timaero's sales or leasing business and therefore denies them. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 346.
- 347. Boeing lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 347 regarding any impact on Timaero's business and therefore denies them. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 347.
 - 348. Boeing denies the allegations in Paragraph 348.
- 349. The allegations in Paragraph 349 consist of legal conclusions to which no response from Boeing is required. To the extent a response is required, Boeing lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 349 regarding Timaero's state of mind or any impact on Timaero's business and therefore denies them. Boeing denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 349.
- 350. Boeing incorporates by reference its responses to Paragraphs 1 to 349, *supra*, as if fully set forth herein.
- 351. Boeing admits that it entered into a Purchase Agreement with Timaero Ireland Limited, which attaches or incorporates various exhibits, Letter Agreements, and Supplemental

VI.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Timaero's Prayer for Relief, ¶¶ A–H, does not contain allegations to which Boeing need respond. To the extent a response is required, Boeing denies that Timaero is entitled to any relief from Boeing.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- 1. Timaero's Third Amended Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted against Boeing and further fails to state facts sufficient to entitle Timaero to the relief sought, or to any relief whatsoever, from Boeing.
- 2. The 737 MAX at issue in this litigation were intended for and sold to a knowledgeable and sophisticated user over whom Boeing had no control or right of control.
- 3. If Timaero's damages, if any, were proximately caused by the acts or omissions of others over whom Boeing had no control or right of control, those acts or omissions were a superseding and sole, direct, and proximate cause of Timaero's damages, if any.
- 4. An award or judgment rendered in favor of Timaero must be offset or reduced by the amount of benefits Timaero received, or is entitled to receive, from any source in connection with the events alleged in the Third Amended Complaint.
- 5. Some or all of Timaero's claims and available damages may be barred by virtue of prior settlements.
- 6. Some or all of Timaero's claims and available damages may be preempted by federal law.
- 7. Some or all of Timaero's claims and available damages are barred by provisions in Boeing's Purchase Agreement and related contracts, including but not limited to Supplemental Agreements.
- 8. To the extent Timaero recovers any damages for breach of contract, Boeing is entitled to an offset or reduction of such damages to the extent of amounts due to Boeing under the same contract.

1	9. Timaero failed to mitigate any damages it incurred, if any, from the conduct at
2	issue.
3	Boeing reserves the right to amend or supplement these affirmative defenses.
4	
5	Dated: August 19, 2024 By: <u>/s/ Ulrike B. Connelly</u> Kathleen M. O'Sullivan, WSBA No. 27850
6	Harry Schneider, Jr., WSBA No. 9404 Eric B. Wolff, WSBA No. 43047
7	Ulrike B. Connelly, WSBA No. 42478 Sarah L. Schirack, WSBA No. 59669
8	Marten N. King, WSBA No. 57106 Perkins Coie LLP
9	1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900 Seattle, WA 98101-3099
10	Telephone: 206.359.8000 Facsimile: 206.359.9000
11	Email: KO'Sullivan@perkinscoie.com HSchneider@perkinscoie.com
12	EWolff@perkinscoie.com UConnelly@perkinscoie.com
13	SShirack@perkinscoie.com MKing@perkinscoie.com
14	
15	Attorneys for Defendant The Boeing Company
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	